

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/712,491	11/14/2000	Raj Kumar Michael Thambynayagam	60.1411	7274
7590 04/07/2004		EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Law Department			THOMSON, WILLIAM D	
Schlumberger-Doll Research Old Quarry Rd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ridgefield, CT 06877			2123	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	4
	•			\mathcal{L}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ú

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/712,491	THAMBYNAYAGAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William D. Thomson	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B6(a). In no event, however, may a reg within the statutory minimum of thirty rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	nuary 2004.					
<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.	D⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	*					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori 	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been r	plication No				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.5.	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/712,491

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-18 have been submitted for examination.

2. Claims 1-18 have been examined and are rejected.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statements filed February 20, 2001 and March 26, 2001 have been initialed and considered. However it is noted that the capturing on the latter disclosure form appears to be to a different case. It is apparent that the prior art is related to this case and has been considered.

Drawings

4. Applicant has filed informal drawings in this case. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See M.P.E.P § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 2123

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-84 of U.S. Patent No. 6,519,568 (Harvey et al.) Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the implementation as claimed and disclosed for support as shown in Harvey et al. encompasses the invention as claimed in instant invention. The use of a directory server in the constructs of the internet is a given feature and the use of a shared environment of the model is taught in Harvey (568), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the directory server as integrated with the Internet as it was at the time of invention with the teachings of Harvey since it is directed to using the Internet to share such a model.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Application/Control Number: 09/712,491

Art Unit: 2123

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Harvey et al. (568). The claimed limitations are expressly taught in Harvey et al. (568).

Claims 1-18 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by copending Application No. *** which has a common *** with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the copending application, it would constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), if published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or patented. This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is based upon a presumption of future publication or patenting of the copending application.

This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the copending application was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. This rejection may not be overcome by the filing of a terminal disclaimer. See *In re Bartfeld*, 925 F.2d 1450, 17 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record, see PTO 892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure, careful consideration must be given prior to Applicant's response to this Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/712,491

Art Unit: 2123

8. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three)

Page 5

months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this action. Failure to respond within the

period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C.

133, M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to William D. Thomson whose telephone number is 703-

305-0022. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-3:30 Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on 703-305-9704. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Thomson Primary Examiner

A.U. 2123